


This article was downloaded by: [Sage, Colin]

On: 25 October 2010

Access details: Access Details: [subscription number 928470292]

Publisher Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Irish Geography

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t791546828>

Re-imagining the Irish foodscape

Colin Sage^a

^a Department of Geography, University College Cork, Ireland

Online publication date: 21 October 2010

To cite this Article Sage, Colin(2010) 'Re-imagining the Irish foodscape', Irish Geography, 43: 2, 93 – 104

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00750778.2010.516903

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00750778.2010.516903>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

INTRODUCTION

Re-imagining the Irish foodscape

Colin Sage*

Department of Geography, University College Cork, Ireland

Limited attention has been paid by geographers to the Irish food system beyond the farm gate. Yet the last two decades have witnessed a substantial transformation in the provision of food and in patterns of consumption. This extended introduction to a set of four themed papers considers the role played by corporate retailing in refashioning the urban foodscape and in restructuring agri-food supply chains. The article aims to highlight the significant disconnection that exists between the realms of production and consumption, and outlines the potential of alternative visions and practices that offer a way of reconnecting them. Finally, the article will introduce the four papers which provide an illustration of the range and depth of analysis that geographers can bring to the study of the Irish food system.

Keywords: foodscape; agri-food policy; food supply chains

Food consumption practices have changed remarkably in Ireland during the last 20 years. We have quickly become accustomed to the convenience of 24-hour supermarket opening, take-away food on petrol filling station forecourts and to the disposable income that makes eating outside the home accessible to all (including school children). Yet the wider consequences of these changes remain to be fully and systematically evaluated. The development of a food system built upon the principles of convenience, consumer choice and low price have had enormous repercussions for Irish agriculture, suburban planning and public health. The degree of penetration of international food businesses, especially retail multiples, symbol chains and fast food franchises, have had significant success in radically reshaping patterns of shopping and eating. While the corporate mantra is for cheaper food – ‘every little helps’ – it is becoming apparent that this comes with enormous hidden external costs for the farming industry, the environment and population health and well-being.

In the context of an economic downturn encouraging all sorts of reappraisals of Celtic Tiger norms, this seems an appropriate moment to take stock of Ireland’s contemporary foodscape and ask: what are the social and cultural imaginaries around food at this time? What is the relative balance between care-full performances in the selection, purchase, preparation and consumption of food for nurturing, health and well-being, as against the refuelling of human bodies with cheap, tasty and convenient products? At a time when state agencies are busy promoting Ireland as the ‘Food Island’ in the interests of export-led growth, does it matter that imports of primary and processed foods are rising quickly? And beyond the discursive constructions of ‘green’ and ‘authentic’ foods from the Irish

*Email: c.sage@ucc.ie

countryside, what actually constitutes everyday performance in cooking and eating, in the maintenance of rituals and nostalgia around food, and in the way our individual and collective identities are shaped by contemporary consumption practices?

In seeking to pose such questions, one of the purposes of this article is to call for more engagement by geographers in research that will deepen understanding of the forces shaping the contemporary Irish foodscape. The term foodscape can be regarded as shorthand for food landscape, as it serves to highlight the interconnections between people, food and place (Yasmeen 2008) and brings into focus social, economic and cultural relations around food (Lake *et al.* 2010). A second aim of this article is to highlight the significant disconnect that exists between the realms of production and consumption of food in Ireland today. It is quite clear that there is an urgent need for an integrated and comprehensive food policy architecture capable of bringing together core issues such as diet and health, governance of the supply chain, social justice and sustainability (Lang *et al.* 2009). A third aim is to outline the potential of alternative visions and practices in the production and consumption of food. Ireland is fortunate that, at this moment, it is host to a variety of initiatives helping to shape a different kind of foodscape from that created by mainstream corporate food actors. Given the uncertainties surrounding the global food system, with recognition of how closely interlocked food and energy markets are as well as the growing influence that climate change and freshwater depletion are having on global food stocks, supporting heterodox approaches to food production and retail might be regarded as sensible insurance. Finally, this article will briefly introduce four papers arising from a conference panel and which together make up this mini 'special issue'.

Background

The sustainability of contemporary food systems across the world is being increasingly interrogated from social, economic, environmental and ethical perspectives (e.g. Singer and Mason 2006, Patel 2007, Roberts 2008, Goodman *et al.* forthcoming). Industrialised farming systems have come under increasing scrutiny (Kimbrell 2002, Pretty 2002, Tudge 2004, Mazoyer and Roudart 2006, Weiss 2007), yet the farm sector is struggling to maintain economic viability as a consequence of the commercial power exercised by corporate retailers (Vorley 2003, Fulponi 2006). The logistics of food supply chains with their globalised sourcing impact on local agriculture. It severs links between farmers and consumers resulting in loss of trust in food (Jackson *et al.* 2008) and is encouraging further bioengineering of things we eat (Roberts 2008). Meanwhile, products are travelling increasing distances from field to plate (Jones 2001, Pretty *et al.* 2005), using more energy (almost entirely fossil fuels) and generating more CO₂ emissions. Some 30% of purchased food is then discarded as waste (Waste Resources Action Programme 2007, Stuart 2009). Preliminary evidence suggests that the food chain accounts for 29% of the UK's total energy consumption with a growing share comprising HGV vehicle kilometres (DEFRA 2006). A study for the European Commission suggests that what we eat has more impact on climate change than any other aspect of daily life, accounting for 31% of the global warming potential of products consumed within the EU (Tukker and

Huppés 2005). In Ireland, agriculture alone accounts for 27% of greenhouse gas emissions (Environmental Protection Agency 2008).

Understanding the multidimensional and multiscalar consequences of food system transformation – in Ireland as in other places – is a challenge ultimately requiring attention to many different policy domains: agriculture and rural development, environment and planning, diet and nutrition, welfare and social inclusion, economic prosperity and employment, and so on. It is also subject to a complex and shifting institutional landscape of multilevel, polycentric governance (Hooghe and Marks 2001) involving global (Codex Alimentarius, WTO), European (DGs, EFSA), national (ministries, statutory bodies) as well as private (corporations, business fora), and civic (community, charitable) organisations each with their respective approaches to risk management (Ansell and Vogel 2006). It is little wonder that, given the complexity of such an undertaking, the consequences of the food system have barely begun to be explored by social scientists, including geographers, in Ireland.

Yet, arguably, the spread of corporate food retailing, dominating the landscapes of suburban areas and towns and further exacerbating dependence upon cars, represents one of the most significant features of the ‘Celtic Tiger’ era. The ubiquity of convenience foods, especially its iconic symbol the ‘Jumbo Breakfast Roll’, available at almost every petrol filling station across the state and immortalised in Pat Shortt’s musical tribute,¹ characterised an era of dashboard dining from the late 1990s. This time bomb of saturated fats arguably made a huge impact on the nation’s eating practices, its waistline and its cardiovascular system (Hearty *et al.* 2007). Obesity rates have apparently more than doubled since 1990 (McCarthy *et al.* 2002) and diet-related illnesses are all rising sharply (National Task Force on Obesity 2005). Increased consumption by children of foods eaten outside the home is also a source of concern (Burke *et al.* 2007). Yet there remain significant barriers to people accessing healthy food (Friel and Conlon 2004) as well as being able to enjoy safe physical exercise. Although there is an emerging critical geography of corpulence that rejects the discursive construction and scientific legitimisation of the ‘obesity epidemic’ (Colls and Evans 2009, Guthman 2009), this does not detract from recognising the ways spatial injustice is reflected in access to food and health (Dowler 2008).

There is little doubt that supermarkets are the pre-eminent retailing format dominating the Irish food system and in part responsible, through their merchandising strategies, for the consumption of energy-dense, nutrient-poor, highly processed ‘pseudo foods’ (Winson 2004, Hawkes 2008). Dixon *et al.* (forthcoming), following Marx, refer to the metabolic rift – the disrupted exchange of nutrients between humans and nature – as arising from corporate restructuring of local food environments. Supermarkets have also played a critical role in restructuring agri-food supply chains and resulted in further pressure upon the Irish farming sector. This has become particularly evident in the horticultural sector where there has been a marked exodus of producers. With corporate retailers competing aggressively for market share, growers have come under exceptionally intense pressure as they shoulder the consequences of special promotions and discounts such as ‘buy one get one free’. According to the Bord Bia 2008 field vegetable census, there were around 15 per cent fewer growers than in 2006, although the area under field vegetables had grown by a similar amount. This suggests a constant exit of smaller growers from the sector while those remaining are becoming larger and more specialised. Moreover,

with their highly sophisticated supply chain logistics, retailers are drawn to ever cheaper though more distant sources. It is little wonder that the President of the Irish Farmers' Association John Bryan said 'the food supply chain is broken because farm families cannot survive on prices below the cost of production' (IFA 2010).

Food imports now account for a growing share of the retail market, with consumers spending €4 billion in 2007, a figure which increased by 50 per cent from 2000 (Safefood 2009). Indeed, recent figures suggest that while exports of food and drink fell by 7 per cent to €8.16 billion in 2008, imports of such products from the UK alone grew by 6 per cent in 2009 reaching almost €3 billion. Undoubtedly, this narrowing in the sectoral balance of trade has to do with the role played by multiples in displacing indigenous food producers (*Irish Independent*, 11 May 2010).

This growing disarticulation of the domestic agricultural sector from Irish consumers comes at a time of rising concerns for food security. The events of 2007 and 2008 when energy and food prices rose sharply, have led the European Commission (European Commission 2009), the UK government (DEFRA 2008) and many research organisations, such as the Royal Institute for International Affairs (Ambler-Edwards *et al.* 2009, Evans 2009) to support foresight initiatives designed to explore scenarios of future food security and consider matters of contingency. All place importance on notions of resilience, adaptation and sustainability, vital concepts in a near to medium-term future assuredly experiencing the effects of climate change, freshwater scarcity and peak oil (Gregory *et al.* 2005, IPCC 2007, IAAKSTD 2008, Bridge 2010). There is even some appreciation of the need to restore a degree of food sovereignty perhaps through selective government support for local food initiatives. Increasingly, governments and other agencies are keeping a watchful eye on the expansion of land leasing schemes which has seen China, India, Saudi Arabia and the Gulf states secure large tracts of land for food production in sub-Saharan Africa, Pakistan, the Philippines and elsewhere (von Braun and Meinzen-Dick 2009). Securing long-term supplies of food and biofuels has become a strategic imperative for some states and ought to be a factor influencing the shape of agricultural and land use policy in Ireland as we move into a more uncertain future.

Reconnecting farmers and eaters?

Yet there is little obvious sign that such issues are informing the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food which recently published *Food harvest 2020: A vision for Irish agri-food and fisheries* (DAFF 2010). This sets a deeply ambitious target for exports of €12 billion by 2020, a 42 per cent increase on the average for 2007–09. Much of these exports will comprise beef and milk (with a projected 50 per cent increase in milk output alone), suggesting a substantial increase in livestock numbers. Given the consequent increase in emissions of methane and nitrous oxide (from grassland fertilisation), the intriguing and vital question then arises: how will Ireland meet its international obligations to cut greenhouse gases by 20 per cent of its 2005 levels by 2020? Moreover, while the Department sets out an agenda for export-led growth, how sustainable is it to have a domestic agricultural sector increasingly disarticulated from national food consumption?

Food harvest 2020 notes that the 'restructuring process that has characterised Irish agriculture in recent years needs to be accelerated. Market realities dictate that

a strong commercial perspective is pivotal . . .’ (DAFF 2010 p. 18). This is a salutary statement, for the state of Irish agriculture is generally not regarded as in good shape: only 18 per cent of full-time farms are considered as economically viable, and the decline in rural construction has seen a significant loss of jobs for those dependent on off-farm earnings; meanwhile, there are questions of demographic viability as the average age of farmers rises (Crowley *et al.* 2008, Macken-Walsh 2010). Yet agricultural policy appears to remain wedded to the model of global neo-liberal productivism and a belief that Irish agriculture can compete in a race to the bottom on price with European neighbours capable of achieving greater economies of scale.

But what of the majority of farmers, those who are running non-viable farms according to the prevailing commercial yardstick, but who manage important public goods by maintaining the rural landscape, conserving soils and biodiversity? While currently surviving on the Single Farm Payment and various other premia (e.g. REPS), what are the implications of further CAP reforms? Will their elimination result in land abandonment, environmental degradation and the loss of rural infrastructures? Do they have no contribution to make to more localised food economies with their livelihoods improved through value-added activities at farm level? These questions invite us to look more closely at experiences elsewhere in Europe where, in the face of large agri-commodity markets controlled by powerful corporations, farmers have started to diversify their operations by developing new products and services designed to retain more value at the farm level. It reminds us that there are a number of different potential pathways out of productivism, providing farmers are helped to navigate this route and supports are available for multifunctional ‘post-productivist’ rural farm enterprises (Wilson 2001, Potter and Tilzey 2005, Crowley *et al.* 2008).

Jan Douwe van der Ploeg (2008) outlines some concrete pathways, which he calls ‘mechanisms of repeasantization’, that have some validity in shaping an alternative paradigm to the prevailing model of neoliberal productivism, even if the peasant terminology may jar. His view is that multi-product farms emerge offering competitiveness and also more autonomy. For example, diversified output is combined with on-farm processing and the construction of new short links to consumers. This process might be combined with a shift away from main input markets towards farming more economically, and using less chemicals, say, offering the opportunity to reground farming upon the resources of nature and not those of agro-industry. Reconnecting the farm to the local economy offers opportunities for household pluriactivity and new forms of local cooperation. Such a process allows for the de-linking of agriculture from direct dependency upon financial and industrial capital and offers an escape from the treadmill of financialisation (Burch and Lawrence 2009). Given the precarious situation of the Irish banks and the normally significant demand for credit required by entrepreneurial farmers engaging in constant rounds of investment, this option might be amongst the most strategically astute. Finally, van der Ploeg speaks of the recovery of craftsmanship, this unity of mental and manual labour that allows for direct and skilful control over the process of production.

While the overwhelming majority of agricultural commentators here will dismiss such mechanisms for being radically at variance with the belief that we can yet find a bonanza from selling grass-fed beef and processed milk into international markets, elsewhere there are striking examples of how many of van der Ploeg’s mechanisms

are taking root. In the United States there has been an extraordinary resurgence of civic agriculture, ‘agriculture of the middle’, community-supported agriculture (CSAs) and so on (DeLind 2002, Lyson 2004, DuPuis and Gillion 2010). In Europe, particularly in the South, farmers are responding to the desire of many people to conserve and protect particular speciality foods, animal breeds and precious habitats (Brunori and Rossi 2000, Parrott *et al.* 2002, Barham 2003). Here are cases where, often with the support of local communities, farms are working to extricate themselves from the technological and financial treadmill and return to the principles of producing wholesome primary foods (Marsden and Smith 2005). While one cannot underestimate the challenge that such a strategic change presents for farmers, such developments will also require utterly new networks of people willing to engage and support these efforts and thereby contribute to the shortening of food supply chains (see below). It will also require a sea-change in the mind-set of government that frequently appears in thrall to Big Science and which together, in common pursuit of the Knowledge Economy, have come to view food as a nutrient-delivery system capable of achieving public acceptance of probiotics, nano-tech, and nutrigenomics (Scrinis and Lyons 2007, Dixon 2009).

In recent years geographers have made a very substantial contribution to understanding the ‘turn to quality’ and the rise of alternative food networks documenting a range of novel practices in production, distribution and retailing of food products (Renting *et al.* 2003, Sage 2003, Watts *et al.* 2005, Ilbery and Maye 2006, Morgan *et al.* 2006, Maye *et al.* 2007). Such work has highlighted in particular the interest of food consumers in searching out and supporting efforts at relocalisation, building relations of proximity through short food supply chains, and thereby facilitating the recovery of trust following a succession of food safety scares. Together with the rise of demand for fairly traded produce, the mainstreaming of animal welfare considerations, and of organic and speciality food, there is evidence to suggest a turn towards more critical and ethical consumption (Goodman 2010, Goodman *et al.* 2010), embracing a new responsibility in the journey from value-for-money bargains to values-for-money choices (Lang forthcoming).

However, there are a number of fast moving initiatives that go beyond ‘moral consumerism’ and are evidence for more collective engagement where groups display a new civic responsibility to shape a more sustainable future (Little *et al.* forthcoming, Miele and Evans 2010, Sherriff 2009). Ireland alone bears witness to this: claiming the site of conception, if not the birth, of the Transition Movement and host to many of its towns; the source of the ‘Grow it Yourself’ campaign that has spread virally across the country and which is helping with the proliferation of localised food growing initiatives such as community gardens and allotments; and support for a robust network of farmers’ markets, country markets and other local retail initiatives. Although highly heterogeneous, such developments are evidence for emerging sets of social movements around food that not only reflect current events in Ireland but will come to shape the future of Irish foodscapes.

The papers

The papers that follow are substantially revised versions of presentations made at a panel, ‘Evaluating the Irish Foodscape’ as part of the Conference of Irish Geographers in 2009. The first of these papers, by Linda Coakley, utilises the

notion of foodscape in relation to the growing visible presence of Polish food shops in Irish towns and cities since 2004. Her paper seeks to understand the role that these shops fulfil for those Polish and other East European migrants attracted to Ireland's booming labour market in the mid-2000s. Using a 'more-than-representational' approach, Coakley not only interrogates the everyday practices of shopping, cooking and eating food, but seeks to engage with the very materiality of foodstuffs. Here, we begin to understand how obtaining the ingredients necessary to execute an authentic rendering of a Polish dish become critical to the continuity of everyday life. Although the financial crisis has led many Poles to return home resulting in fewer shops, those that remain contribute to the maintenance of a cosmopolitan Irish foodscape.

The regard of domestic cooks for such vital ingredients as real Polish breadcrumbs necessarily invites us to reflect upon Irish cuisine and to ask whether there might be a comparable level of commitment to certain dishes. This is, of course, a complex and controversial question, for it is not at all clear that we have a comprehensive and detailed picture of traditional Irish foodways (Sexton 1998). The staples of the early Irish diet were cereals – probably by order of importance oats, barley, wheat and rye – and dairy produce: sour milk, curds, soft cheese and butter (Davidson 2006). For coastal communities such as on the Iveragh Peninsula, fish and shellfish would have provided a valuable source of food (Sage and Small 2009). But overlaying these native foods is the complex history of conquest and colonisation that in time imposed a critical role on Ireland in victualling the British Navy and overseas dominions. Across Munster butter roads and droving routes all led to Cork which, by the mid-eighteenth century was annually exporting tens of thousands of barrels of salted beef, bacon and butter to England, Europe and the Americas (Sage and Sexton 2005). It is in this context that Ireland's relationship with the potato must be placed, for this tuber was able to produce a higher output of nutrition per acre than grain, and came to substitute for dairy produce and oats which were then sold to meet rents (Feehan 2003).

In her paper, Alice D'Arcy traces the introduction, expansion and collapse of potato production that resulted in the Great Famine. Although the area of cultivation recovered to some extent by the 1860s, it has been in continuous decline ever since and production today is highly mechanised and regionally concentrated. D'Arcy is particularly interested in exploring the ecological dimensions of the contemporary high-input, high-output potato farming system, using Life Cycle Assessment methods to address the multiple impacts on environment. This is a far cry from the days when potato was a subsistence crop; today it is just another commodity that ties producers, upstream, into suppliers of agri-inputs, and downstream into food retailers. Yet, fortunately, the potato retains an important role in the Irish diet and there remains a cultural preference for floury varieties that are only largely met by domestic production. Perhaps the uniquely branded 'Irish' potato or its many varieties could become a symbolic and powerful tool to shorten food supply chains within Ireland?

Relocalising food has certainly become a popular call, and in their paper Ricketts Hein and Watts develop and apply an index of food relocalisation to the Republic of Ireland and Britain. They note some of the difficulties that arise in relation to the term 'local', difficulties that extend beyond the community of 'locavores' deciding whether one should eat within a 50-mile, 100-mile or greater catchment ('foodshed') radius (Kloppenburg *et al.* 1996; see also Feagan 2007). Yet by drawing upon a range

of appropriate sources Ricketts Hein and Watts come up with a pragmatic and robust index which they apply to each county in the four jurisdictions. Devising such a comparative tool as this is both novel and valuable for it offers genuine insights into the relative performance of different regions and might encourage relevant agencies to learn from experiences elsewhere.

If local is a contested term, so is the very idea of 'alternative' food networks in relation to the mainstream. Binary opposition has a seductive simplicity (the local versus the global, slow versus fast food, 'food from somewhere' versus 'food from nowhere' (Campbell 2009)), but can be seriously misleading (see Holloway *et al.* 2007; see also Morgan forthcoming). Although critical consumers have helped to support an active network of farmers' markets around the country, the corporate-controlled food system not only remains standing but is more powerful and extensive than ever. Not only has it engaged in horizontal diversification into a wide range of consumer services (personal finance, telecommunications, on-line shopping and home delivery), it is actively engaged in market deepening through an extended range of organic, speciality, ethnic and fairly-traded goods and is even taking a lead on sustainability (e.g. carbon labelling). The 'alternative' and the mainstream are no longer polar opposites but co-exist within a zone of discursive and material transgression being reshaped by a new politics of consumption (see Goodman and Sage forthcoming).

It is in this context that Aisling Murtagh utilises a social movement perspective, in order to investigate the potential of selected food communities to present genuinely oppositional alternative spaces. She is interested in exploring how personalised resistance might become the basis of collective response for wider social change. A social movement approach has been successfully used by Hilary Tovey in her examination of the Irish organic movement in particular (Tovey 1997, 2002, 2006). Murtagh, however, draws upon two case studies of pioneering food communities in Dublin, and concludes that current alternative food activity may be the seeds of social change that is supporting slow but continuous and incremental erosion of the prevailing agri-food edifice. Clearly many of the collective initiatives noted above (the spread of food growing across the country, the 'unleashing' of transition towns, even the opening of Cloughjordan eco-village in County Tipperary) offer evidence of efforts to practically create a more integrated, localised and sustainable way of producing and consuming food. Yet there will be challenges, with the likelihood of moments of marked contestation when the prevailing edifice imposes its rules and standards. Whether it is cheese makers challenging the tyranny of a bureaucratic hyper-hygenism (Sage 2007a and Sage forthcoming) or stallholders contesting the extinguishing of long-established market rights (Sage 2007b), it will be important for the emerging social movement to demonstrate its commitment to a genuinely alternative food imaginary in Ireland. And in this process, of critically examining and reshaping the Irish foodscape, geographers have an important contribution to make.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my co-convenor of the panel, Jane Ricketts Hein, as well as all the contributors who made this a very successful part of the Cork conference. I would also like to thank Mike Goodman for his helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper, though of course any shortcomings are my responsibility alone. I also wish to acknowledge the support of the Irish Research Council for Humanities and Social Sciences in their award of a Senior Research Fellowship during 2008–09.

Note

1. The lyrics include the following lines:

'I don't have a time for a fancy breakfast or put muesli in a bowl
I just head to the Statoil garage for the jumbo breakfast roll'

And the chorus,

'Two eggs two rasher two sausage two bacon two puddings one black one white
All placed like a tower on top of each other and then wrapped up good and tight'

References

- Ambler-Edwards, S., Bailey, K., Kiff, A., Lang, T., Lee, R., Marsden, T., Simons, D., and Tibbs, H., 2009. *Food futures: rethinking UK strategy*. London: Royal Institute of International Affairs.
- Ansell, C., and Vogel, D., 2006. *What's the beef? The contested governance of European food safety*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Barham, E., 2003. Translating terroir: the global challenges of French AOC labelling. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 19, 127–138.
- Bridge, G., 2010. Geographies of peak oil: The other carbon problem. *Geoforum*, 41, 523–530.
- Brunori, G., and Rossi, A., 2000. Synergy and coherence through collective action: some insights from wine routes in Tuscany. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 40 (4), 410–423.
- Burch, D. and Lawrence, G., 2009. Towards a third food regime: behind the transformation. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 26, 267–279.
- Burke, S., McCarthy, S., O'Neil, J., Hannon, E., Kiely, M., Flynn, A., and Gibney, M., 2007. An examination of the influence of eating location on the diets of Irish children. *Public Health Nutrition*, 10 (6), 599–607.
- Campbell, H., 2009. Breaking new ground in food regime theory: corporate environmentalism, ecological feedbacks and the 'food from somewhere' regime? *Agriculture and Human Values*, 26, 309–319.
- Colls, R., and Evans, B., 2009. Critical geographies of fat/bigness/corpulence. Introduction: questioning obesity politics. *Antipode*, 41 (5), 1011–1020.
- Crowley, C., Walsh, J., and Meredith, D., 2008. *Irish farming at the millennium: a census atlas*. Maynooth: National Institute for Regional and Spatial Analysis.
- Davidson, A., ed., 2006. *The Oxford companion to food* (second edition). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (DAFF). 2010. Food harvest 2020: a vision for Irish agri-food and fisheries [online]. Available from: <http://agriculture.gov.ie/media/migration/agri-foodindustry/agri-foodindustrypublications/2020Foodharvest190710.pdf>. [Accessed 12 September 2010].
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 2006. *Food Industry Sustainability Strategy*. London: DEFRA, UK government.
- Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) 2008. *Ensuring the UK's food security in a changing world*. Discussion Paper, July. London: DEFRA, UK Government.
- DeLind, L., 2002. Place, work, and civic agriculture: Common fields for cultivation. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 19, 217–224.
- Dixon, J., 2009. From the imperial to the empty calorie: how nutrition relations underpin food regime transitions. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 26, 321–333.
- Dixon, J., Hattersley, L., and Isaacs, B., forthcoming. Transgressing retail: supermarkets, liminoid power and the metabolic rift. In M. Goodman and C. Sage, eds., *Food transgressions: making sense of contemporary food politics*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Dowler, E., 2008. Food and health inequalities: the challenge for sustaining just consumption. *Local Environment*, 13 (8), 759–772.
- DuPuis, M., and Gillon, S., 2010. Alternative modes of governance: organic as civic engagement. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 26, 43–56.

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2008. Ireland's National Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for 2007. Available from: http://www.epa.ie/downloads/pubs/air/airemissions/ghg_prov_inventory_2007_161020081.pdf [Accessed 10 August 2010].
- European Commission, 2009. *Second SCAR Foresight Exercise. New challenges for agricultural research*. Brussels: Directorate-General for Research: Food, agriculture, fisheries and biotechnology.
- Evans, A., 2009. *The feeding of the nine billion: global food security for the 21st century*. London: Royal Institute of International Affairs.
- Feagan, R., 2007. The place of food: mapping out the 'local' in local food systems. *Progress in Human Geography*, 31 (1), 23–42.
- Feehan, J., 2003. *Farming in Ireland: history, heritage and environment*. Dublin: University College Dublin.
- Friel, S. and Conlon, C., 2004. *Food Poverty and Policy*. Dublin: Combat Poverty Agency, Crosscare, SVP.
- Fulponi, L., 2006. Private voluntary standards in the food system: The perspective of major food retailers in OECD countries. *Food Policy*, 31, 1–13.
- Goodman, M., 2010. The mirror of consumption: celebrityization, developmental consumption and the shifting cultural politics of fair trade. *Geoforum*, 41, 104–116.
- Goodman, M., Maye, D., and Holloway, L., forthcoming. Ethical foodscapes?: Premises, promises and possibilities. *Environment and Planning A*.
- Goodman, M., and Sage, C., eds., forthcoming. *Food transgressions: making sense of contemporary food politics*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Gregory, P., Ingram, J., and Brklacich, M., 2005. Climate change and food security. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 360, 2139–2148.
- Guthman, J., 2009. Teaching the politics of obesity: insights into neoliberal embodiment and contemporary biopolitics. *Antipode*, 41 (5), 1110–1133.
- Hawkes, C., 2008. Dietary implications of supermarket development: a global perspective. *Development Policy Review*, 26 (6), 657–692.
- Hearty, A., McCarthy, S., Kearney, J., and Gibney, M., 2007. Relationship between attitudes towards healthy eating and dietary behaviour, lifestyle and demographic factors in a representative sample of Irish adults. *Appetite*, 48, 1–11.
- Holloway, L., Kneafsey, M., Cox, R., Venn, L., Dowler, E., and Tuomainen, H., 2007. Beyond the 'alternative' – 'conventional' divide? Thinking differently about food production – consumption relationships. In: D. Maye, L. Holloway and M. Kneafsey, eds. *Alternative Food Geographies: representation and practice*. Oxford: Elsevier, 77–93.
- Hooghe, L., and Marks, G., 2001. Types of multi-level governance. *European Integration Online Papers* 5, 11. Available from: <http://eiop.or.at/eiop/texte/2001-011.htm> [Accessed 9 August 2009].
- Ilberry, B., and Maye, D., 2006. Retailing local food in the Scottish-English borders: a supply chain perspective. *Geoforum*, 37, 352–367.
- Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPPC), 2007. Summary for Policymakers. In: *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (IAAKSTD), 2008. Executive Summary of Synthesis Report. Available from: <http://www.agassessment.org>.
- Irish Farmers' Association (IFA), 2010. *Farm prices are below breakeven across all food products* [online]. Available from: <http://www.ifa.ie/News/tabid/640/ctl/Detail/mid/2250/xmid/3444/xmfid/23/Default.aspx> [Accessed 6 August 2010].
- Irish Independent*, 11 May 2010. *€180m lift in imports of UK food and drink*. Available from: <http://www.independent.ie/farming/news-features/8364180m-lift-in-imports-of-uk-food-and-drink-2174875.html>. [Accessed 9 August 2010].
- Jackson, P., Ward, N., and Russell, P., 2008. Moral economies of food and geographies of responsibility. *Transactions Institute of British Geographers NS*, 34, 12–24.
- Jones, A., 2001. *Eating Oil: Food supply in a changing climate*. London: Sustain/Elm Farm Research Centre.

- Kimbrell, A., ed., 2002. *Fatal harvest: the tragedy of industrial agriculture*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Kloppenborg, J., Hendrickson, J., and Stevenson, G., 1996. Coming into the foodshed. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 13, 33–42.
- Lake, A., Burgoine, T., Greenhalgh, F., Stamp, E., and Tyrrell, R., 2010. The foodscape: classification and field validation of secondary data sources. *Health and Place*, 16, 666–673.
- Lang, T., forthcoming. From ‘value-for-money’ to ‘values-for-money’? Ethical food and policy in Europe. *Environment and Planning A*.
- Lang, T., Barling, D., and Caraher, M., 2009. *Food policy: Integrating health, environment and society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Little, R., Maye, D., and Ilberry, B., forthcoming. Collective purchase: moving local and organic foods beyond the niche market. *Environment and Planning A*.
- Lyson, T., 2004. *Civic agriculture: Reconnecting farm, food and community*. University Park: Penn State University Press.
- McCarthy, S., Gibney, M., Flynn, A., and Livingstone, M., 2002. Overweight, obesity and physical activity levels in Irish adults: evidence from the North/South Ireland Food Consumption Survey. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 61, 3–7.
- Macken Walsh, A., 2010. Agriculture, Rural Development and Potential for a ‘Middle Agriculture’ in Ireland. Rural Economy Research Centre, Teagasc, Working Paper 10-WP-RE-04.
- Marsden, T. and Smith, E., 2005. Ecological entrepreneurship: sustainable development in local communities through quality food production and local branding. *Geoforum*, 36 (4), 441–451.
- Maye, D., Holloway, L., and Kneafsey, M., eds., *Alternative Food Geographies: representation and practice*. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Mazoyer, M. and Roudart, L., 2006. *A History of World Agriculture: From the Neolithic age to the current crisis*. London: Earthscan.
- Miele, M., and Evans, A. 2010. When foods become animals: ruminations on ethics and responsibility in care-full practices of consumption. *Ethics, Place and Environment* 13(2). In press.
- Morgan, K., forthcoming. Collective purchase and consumer innovation: moving local and organic foods beyond the niche market. *Environment and Planning A*.
- Morgan, K., Marsden, T., and Murdoch, J., 2006. *Worlds of Food: Place, Power, and Provenance in the Food Chain*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- National Task Force on Obesity, 2005. *Report of the National Task Force*. Dublin.
- Parrot, N., Wilson, N., and Murdoch, J., 2002. Spatialising quality: regional protection and the alternative geography of food. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 9 (3), 241–261.
- Patel, R., 2007. *Stuffed and starved: from farm to fork, the hidden battle for the world food system*. London: Portobello Books.
- Ploeg, van der, J.D., 2008. *The new peasantries: struggles for autonomy and sustainability in an era of empire and globalization*. London: Earthscan.
- Potter, C., and Tilzey, M., 2005. Agricultural policy discourses in the European post-fordist transition: neoliberalism, neomercantilism and multifunctionality. *Progress in Human Geography*, 29 (5), 581–600.
- Pretty, J., 2002. *Agri-culture: reconnecting people, land and nature*. London: Earthscan.
- Pretty, J., Ball, A., Lang, T., and Morison, J., 2005. Farm costs and food miles: an assessment of the full cost of the UK weekly food basket. *Food Policy*, 30, 1–19.
- Renting, H., Marsden, T., and Banks, J., 2003. Understanding alternative food networks: exploring the role of short food supply chains in rural development. *Environment and Planning A*, 35 (3), 393–411.
- Roberts, P., 2008. *The end of food: the coming crisis in the world food industry*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Safefood, 2009. *Where does our food come from?* Available from: <http://www.safefood.eu/en/Consumer/Understanding-Food/About-our-food/Where-does-our-food-come-from> [Accessed 9 August 2010].
- Sage, C., 2003. Social embeddedness and relations of regard: alternative ‘good food’ networks in South West Ireland. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 19 (1), 47–60.

- Sage, C., 2007a. 'Bending science to match their convictions': hygienist conceptions of food safety and contesting microbiological interpretations of raw milk cheese. In: D. Maye, L. Holloway and M. Kneafsey, eds. *Alternative food geographies: representation and practice*. Oxford: Elsevier, pp. 203–221.
- Sage, C., 2007b. Trust in markets: economies of regard and spaces of contestation in alternative food networks. In: J. Cross and A. Morales, eds. *Street trade: commerce in a globalizing world*. London: Routledge, pp. 147–163.
- Sage, C., forthcoming. Conventions of Quality and Governance of Artisan Food: Revealing the tyranny of 'sound science' in the regulation of Irish raw milk cheese. In: K. Keohane and P. O'Mahony, *Irish environmental politics: the communicative turn*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Sage, C., and Sexton, R., 2005. The Food Culture of Cork. In: J. Crowley, R. Devoy, P. O'Flanagan, and D. Linehan, eds. *The Atlas of Cork*. Cork: Cork University Press, pp. 308–315.
- Sage, C., and Small, F., 2009. The culture of food on the Iveragh Peninsula. In: J. Crowley and J. Sheehan, eds. *An Atlas of the Iveragh Peninsula*. Cork: Cork University Press, pp. 294–301.
- Scrinis, G., and Lyons, K., 2007. The emerging nano-corporate paradigm: nanotechnology and the transformation of nature, food and agri-food systems. *International Journal of Sociology of Food and Agriculture*, 15 (2), 22–44.
- Sexton, R., 1998. *A little history of Irish food*. London: Kyle Cathie.
- Sherriff, G., 2009. Towards healthy local food: issues in achieving Just Sustainability. *Local Environment*, 14 (1), 73–92.
- Singer, P., and Mason, J., 2006. *Eating: what we eat and why it matters*. London: Arrow Books.
- Stuart, T., 2009. *Waste: Uncovering the global food scandal*. London: Penguin Books.
- Tovey, H., 1997. Food, environmentalism and rural sociology: On the organic farming movement in Ireland. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 37 (1), 21–37.
- Tovey, H., 2002. Alternative agriculture movements and rural development cosmologies. *International Journal of Sociology of Food and Agriculture*, 10 (1), 1–11.
- Tovey, H., 2006. New movements in old places? The alternative food movement in rural Ireland. In: L. Connolly and N. Hourigan, eds. *Social Movements and Ireland*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 168–189.
- Tudge, C., 2004. *So shall we reap: what's gone wrong with the world's food – and how to fix it*. London: Penguin Books.
- Tukker, A., and Huppes, G 2005 Environmental impact of products (EIPRO): Analysis of the life cycle environmental impacts related to the total final consumption of the EU25. European Science and Technology Observatory.
- von Braun, J., and Meinzen-Dick, R., 2009. 'Land grabbing' by foreign investors in developing countries: Risks and opportunities. IFPRI Policy Brief 13. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Vorley, B., 2003. *Food, Inc.: corporate concentration from farmer to consumer*. London: UK Food Group/IIED.
- Waste Resources Action Programme, 2007. *Understanding food waste*. Banbury, UK: WRAP.
- Watts, D., Ilberry, B., and Maye, D., 2005. Making reconnections in agro-food geography: alternative systems of food provision. *Progress in Human Geography*, 29 (1), 22–40.
- Weiss, T., 2007. *The Global Food Economy: The battle for the future of farming*. London: Zed Books.
- Wilson, G., 2001. From productivism to postproductivism... and back again? Exploring the (un)changed natural and mental landscapes of European agriculture. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers NS*, 26, 77–102.
- Winson, A., 2004. Bringing political economy into the debate on the obesity epidemic. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 21, 299–312.
- Yasmeen, G., 2008. 'Plastic bag housewives' and postmodern restaurants? Public and private in Bangkok's foodscape. In: C. Counihan and P. van Esterik, eds. *Food and culture: A reader*. second edition. London: Routledge, pp. 523–538.